



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/543,148

Applicants: Udo PETERS, et al.

Filing Date: July 25, 2005

For: METHOD FOR PRODUCING BUTENE OLIGOMERS

Docket No.: 274748US0X PCT

AND TERT-BUTYL ETHERS FROM C4 FLOWS

CONTAINING ISOBUTENE

Group Art Unit: 1797 Examiner: DANG

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Summary of the Substance of the Interview

Our online credit card payment in the amount of \$0.00 is being made covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. Norman F. Oblon

Kirsten A. Grüneberg, Ph.D. Registration No. 47,297

Customer Number 22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) DOCKET NO: 274748US0X PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :

UDO PETERS, ET AL. : ATTN: EXAMINER AUGBURN

SERIAL NO: 10/543,148 :

FILED: JULY 25, 2005 :

FOR: METHOD FOR PRODUCING

BUTENE OLIGOMERS AND TERT-BUTYL ETHERS FROM C4 FLOWS

CONTAINING ISOBUTENE

SUMMARY OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

Applicants wish to thank supervisory Examiner Veronica L. Augburn for the helpful telephone discussions on February 5 and 6, 2008, pertaining to the Notice of Non-compliant amendment dated January 7, 2008 and the following Notice to rescind the same dated January 31, 2008. While the Patent Office rescinded the Notice of January 7, 2008, there also appears to be a handwritten note saying that no Preliminary Amendment was filed in the case. However, this is incorrect. A Preliminary Amendment was filed on July 25, 2005, based on the claims of the Article 34 amendment that was filed in the case. As noted in the Remarks section of the Preliminary Amendment, the claims of the Article 34 amendment were the original claims.

In view of the above, Examiner Augburn indicated that she would ask Examiner

Holland to send another Notice stating that the Preliminary Amendment was entered. As a

SERIAL NO: 10/543,148

result, the case also should be forwarded to Examiner Dang to issue an action on the merits for Claims 1-10 as filed in the Preliminary Amendment.

Early action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. Norman F. Oblon

Kirsten A. Grueneberg, Ph.D.

Registration No.: 47,297

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 NFO:KAG:

(OSMMN 08/07)